CNIT 121: Computer Forensics



6. Discovering the Scope of the Incident



- What did the attacker do?
- Initial detection is usually only part of the story

- Examining initial data
- Gathering and reviewing preliminary evidence
- Determining a course of action

Examining Initial Data

- Look at original alert
- You may notice more than the person who reported it did
- Ask about other detection systems and review what they recorded
- Network administrators may not think like investigators
- Gather context for the detection event

Preliminary Evidence

- Determine what sources of preliminary evidence may help
- Decide which sources you will actually use
- Collect and review the evidence
- Identify sources that are easy to analyze, and that quickly provide initial answers

Example: Malware

- Artifacts the malware directly creates on the system, such as files or registry keys
- Operating system artifacts, such as Windows prefetch, that are indirect artifacts
- Application artifacts, such as Internet browser history or software metering tools
- Network artifacts, such as firewall logs that might record network connections

Indpendent Sources

- Firewall logs don't depend on registry keys, etc.
- Multiple independent exvidence sources lead to more reliable conclusions
- It's difficult for an attacker to remove or modify evidence from all sources
- Less likely that a routine process would overwrite or discard all evidence
- Cross-check information, like date and time

Review

- Attacker may be causing more damage
- Test a detection method on one system, or a small date range of log entries
- Make sure your detection method is fast and effective

Determining a Course of Action

- Will the action help answer an investigative question?
- Will the action answer my questions quickly?
- Am I following the evidence?
- Am I putting too much effort into a single theory?
- Am I using multiple independent sources of evidence?
- Do I understand the level of effort?
- Am I staying objective?
- Am I tracking the earliest and most recent evidence of compromise?
- Have I uncovered something that requires immediate remediation?

Data Loss Scenario

- Large online retailer
- You work in IT security department
- Customers are complaining about spam after becoming a new customer

Finding Something Concrete

- Anecdotal customer complaints are inconclusive
- Options
 - Work with customers and review their email
 - Relability and privacy problems
 - Create fake customer accounts with unique email addresses

Fake Accounts

- Use 64-character random usernames
- Unlikely that spammers would guess the usernames
- Monitor those accounts

Preliminary Evidence

- Assuming customer data is being lost somehow:
- Find where customer data is and how it is managed
- One internal database on production server
- One external database at a third-party marketing firm

Interview Results

- The customer database system is a mainstream commercial product, with advanced query monitoring and reporting capabilities.
- The database is approximately 500GB (gigabytes) in size.
- The database network traffic is approximately 3TB (terabytes) per day.
- Customer records are updated directly via the company website or manually via phone call into the customer service department.
- No other method of updating customer records exists.
- Backups are kept both on-site and off-site at another of your company's facilities.
- The marketing firm receives data at the end of the month following any updates.

Progress

- It's unlikely the marketing firm is a source of the data loss, because, according to the customers' complaints, spam was received sooner than the firm received the data.
- Theft of customer records via customers calling in via phone seems unlikely; therefore, any investigative focus on the data input side would concentrate on the website.
- The volume of network traffic to the database is high, so performing a network packet capture may be difficult, if required. Because the database supports advanced query monitoring, this may be a better method to monitor database access.

Theories & Simple Tests

- · Insider
 - No easy way to test
- Modified code on website to capture email addresses
 - Enter some fake accounts directly into database, bypassing the web form
- Someone copying backup tapes
 - Add some fake accounts to the backups

Two Weeks Later

- Spam comes to the first set of fake accounts
- And to the accounts manually entered into the database
 - Suggests the website is not part of the problem
- No spam from the accounts on the backup tape
 - Backups aren't the source of data loss

New Theories

- Direct access to the database
 - Malware on the database server
 - Accessing it over the network

Monitoring Queries

- Network-level packet captures
 - Expensive, powerful system required
 - If queries are encrypted, or malware is obfuscating them, it may be hard to decode the traffic
- Database-level query monitoring and logging
 - Most efficient and reliable technique

Next Steps

- Create a few more fake accounts
- Talk to database and application administrators
 - To find out where data is stored
- Scan through logs to see what is "normal"
- No queries or stored procedures perform a bulk export of email addresses on a daily basis

Two Weeks Later

- New accounts get spam
- Retrieve query logs from time accounts created to spam time
 - For the field "custemail"
- A single query is found
 - SELECT custemail FROM custprofile WHERE signupdate >= "2014-02-16"

Query Details

- Feb 17, 2014 at 11:42 am GMT
- Originated from IP in graphics arts dept.
- Query used an database administrator's username from the IT dept.
- Interview reveals that graphics arts dept. has no direct interaction with customers, only outside vendors

Leads

- There is evidence to support complaints of people receiving spam shortly after becoming a new customer.
- Preliminary data suggests a two-week cycle of data theft that only includes a customer's e-mail address. The data is being extracted directly from the production database.
- Database queries are made over the network, originating from a desktop computer in the graphic arts department. The department does not use customer email addresses are part of their normal business processes. Additionally, the query uses a database user ID that belongs to a database administrator in the IT department.

Action: Graphics Arts Desktop

- Collect a live response.
- Create forensic images of memory and the hard drive.
- Interview the user and determine the following:
 - How long the system has been assigned to them
 - If they've noticed anyone using it who shouldn't be
 - If the system has been "acting strange"
 - If the system is left on 24 hours a day, seven days a week

Action: Database Server

- Collect a live response.
- Preserve all database logs that record user access.
- Preserve all query logs.
- Preserve all application and system logs.

Results from Workstation

- Examine images, focusing on actions at the time of the query
- Malware found on workstation
 - Persistent, provides remote shell, remote graphical interface, ability to launch and terminate processes
 - Connects to a foreign IP
 - Has been installed for two years
 - Cannot determine how system was originally compromised

Final Steps of Investigation

- Use a host-based inspection tool to examine each computer in your enterprise for indicators of compromise—file names, registry keys, and other unique characteristics of the malware.
- Query firewall logs for indications that other computers may be infected—traffic to the IP address the malware connects to.

Scoping Gone Wrong

- After complaints from customers
 - Search every computer in the company for unique strings in the customer data,
 - And files large enough to include all the customer records

Problems

- No evidence that the stolen data is stored on company servers
- No evidence that the data is all being stolen at once in a large file
 - And even so, it would probably be compressed
- Large amount of effort; low chance of success

Another Unwise Path

- Focus on insiders
 - Who had access and knowledge to steal the customer data
 - Compile profiles of numerous employees
 - Review personnel files
 - Background checks, surveillance softare capturing keystrokes and screen images
 - Video surveillance installed

Problems

- Leads to a "witch hunt"
- Invades privacy of employees
- Large effort, small chance of success

Another Unwise Path

- Because the data resides on the database server
- Image and analyze RAM from the database server to hunt for malware
 - Because the hard drives are massive and too large to investigate easily

Problems

- Once again, they have jumped to a conclusion
- And ignored other possibilities
- Large effort, low chance of success

Scenario 2: Automated Clearing House Fraud

- Bank called the CEO--they blocked an ACH transfer of \$183,642.73
 - To an account that was never used before
 - Flagged by their fraud prevention system
- Transfer from CFO's account, but he says he never authorized it

Facts from the Bank

- The transfer request was initiated online using your CFO's online banking account.
- The requested transfer amount was US\$183,642.73.
- The transfer request was initiated one day ago, at 4:37 P.M. GMT-5 (EST).
- The source IP address was your company's public Internet IP address (your firewall).

Preliminary Evidence

• Firewall

- \cdot Two weeks of logs
- Examine this first
- CFO's laptop computer
 - Live resonse, RAM, hard drive
 - But maybe other computers are involved

Firewall Logs

- Look near the time the unauthorized transfer occurred (4:37 pm)
- See who logged in prior to that
- Two computers logged in via HTTPS, making a number of connections between 4:10 pm and 4:48 pm
- From two IPs -- one is CFO's, other not immediately recognized
Two Immediate Tasks

- Gather complete forensic evidence from CFO's computer
 - Live response, RAM, and hard disk
 - Because evidence is being lost as time passes
- Track down the other IP address and decide what action is appropriate

DHCP Logs

- Search for the time in question
- Get MAC address from DHCP logs
- It's the MAC of the CFO's laptop!

Interview the CFO

- The CFO was at work yesterday, but left for the day at 4:30 P.M.
- The CFO had a meeting just prior to leaving for the day. The meeting was held in the conference room, and during that time, the CFO says he used the company wireless network. The meeting was to compare your current bank's service offerings against a competitor. During that meeting, the CFO did access the bank's website, but did not use online banking.
- The CFO restates that he did not initiate the unauthorized transfer, and that he does not know anything about the destination account number associated with the transfer.

Recap

- A review of the firewall logs shows connections from your CFO's computer to the bank during the time frame in question. There were no connections from other computers.
- The CFO says he did not request the transfer, and, additionally, he was not in the office at the time of the transfer request. Your company does not provide remote access to computers left at the office.
- You have firewall logs for the past two weeks.
- You have live response data, memory, and hard drive images of the CFO's computer.

Theories

- Perhaps someone entered the CFO's office and used his computer—you could check the Windows event logs for logon events. You could also check security cameras to see if anyone entered the CFO's office.
- Maybe there is undetected malware on the CFO's computer, providing a remote attacker with access to the system—you could review the forensic data you collected for suspicious startup programs or artifacts created around the time in question.

Open Office Space

- CFO's office is in clear view of other workers
- It's unlikely that someone could go into it unobserved

CFO's Computer

- Recently installed persistent executable
- Send it to a third-party analysis site
- It's a variant of the Zeus banking malware

Final Steps of Investigation

- Conduct a thorough forensic examination of the CFO's computer. You still need to determine how and when the system was infected.
- Use a host-based inspection tool to examine each computer at your company for indicators of compromise —file names, registry keys, and other unique characteristics of the malware.
- Query firewall logs for indications that other computers may be infected—traffic to the IP address the malware communicates with.

Scoping Gone Wrong

- There are no recent antivirus or IDS alerts
- So you believe the security issue must be at the bank
- Tell the bank to find the attacker and put them in jail

Problems

- No attempt to validate the bank's original data
- Company assumes that existing network security measures would detect a problem, if there was one
- Assumption that a third-party can help you
- While you wait, data on company systems is lost

Another Unwise Path

- CEO believes that security measures are in place to prevent malware, so the CFO must have initiated the transfer
- The CEO wants you to investigate the CFO and avoid tipping him (or her) off

Problem

- No security measures are perfect, not even twofactor authentication
- Also, that sort of investigation is outside your expertise, and should be referred to an outside contractor

CNIT 121: Computer Forensics



7. Live Data Collection

Purpose of Live Collection

- Preserve volatile evidence that will further the investigation
- Also collect log files and file listings
- Get answers quickly
- Minimize changes to the system
- Avoid disrupting business, causing crashes, or destroying evidence

When to Perform Live Response

- Is there reason to believe volatile data contains information critical to the investigation that is not present elsewhere?
- 2. Can the live response be run in an ideal manner, minimizing changes to the target system?
- 3. Is the number of affected systems large, making it infeasible to perform forensic duplications on all of them?
- 4. Is there risk that forensic duplications will take an excessive amount of time, or potentially fail?
- 5. Are there legal or other considerations that make it wise to preserve as much data as possible?

Risks of Live Response

- Have you tested the live response process on a similar system?
- Is the system particularly sensitive to performance issues?
- If the system crashes, what would the impact be?
- Have you communicated with all stakeholders and received their approval? In some cases, written approvals may be prudent.

Altering the Evidence

- All live response changes the system
- Purists don't like it
- But the alternative is to lose all volatile data and get only a disk image
- You can minimize changes, but not eliminate them

Selecting a Live Response Tool

- Homegrown Microsoft DOS batch script (or bash)
- Perl-based script
- There are specialized live-response products, free and commercial

Factors to Consider

- Is the tool generally accepted in the forensic community?
- Does the solution address the common operating systems in your environment?
 - Tools that use OS commands should contain known good copies of those commands, not trust the local commands on the suspect system

Factors to Consider

- Does the solution collect data that is important to have, in your environment?
- How long does a collection take?
 - Recommended: less than an hour per system
- Is the system configurable?
- Is the output easily reviewed and understood?

What to Collect

- Current running state of the system
 - Network connections
 - Running Processes
- What happened in the past
 - File listings, system logs
 - Usually a higher priority

The Deep End

- Some oranizations always collect entire RAM contents, or hard disk images
- Don't collect data that you can't effectively use or understand
- Collect data you can really use to quickly determine the impact of the incident

Data to Collect

- The system time and date, including the time zone
- Operating system version information
- General system information, such as memory capacity, hard drives, and mounted file systems
- List of services and programs configured to automatically start on boot-up, such as web servers, databases, multimedia applications, and e-mail programs
- List of tasks scheduled to automatically run at given times or intervals
- · List of local user accounts and group membership
- Network interface details, including IP and MAC addresses
- Routing table, ARP table, and DNS cache

Data to Collect

- Network connections, including associated processes
- Currently loaded drivers or modules
- Files and other open handles
- Running processes, including details such as parent process ID (PID) and runtime
- System configuration data
- User login history, including user name, source, and duration
- Standard system log data
- List of installed software
- Appropriate application log data—web browser history, antivirus logs, and so on
- Full file system listing, including the appropriate timestamps for the file system

Complete RAM Capture

- Requires specialized tools to collect and interpret
- Not part of Live Response
- Sometimes needed on carefully chosen systems

Collection Best Practices

- Practice on a test system first
- Learn how fast the process is, and how large the output is
- Practice handling problems
 - Broken USB port or NIC
 - Locked screen

Caution: Malware

- The system you are examining may be infected with malware
 - Any media you connect may become infected
 - Any credentials you use may be compromised

Recommended Procedure

- Document exactly what you do and when you do it. You'll need to note the difference between the actual time and system time. Don't forget to include time zone in your notes.
- Treat the suspect computer as "hot"—do not interact with it unless you have a plan. Get on and off the system as quickly as possible.
- Use tools that minimize the impact on the target system. Avoid GUI-based collection tools; instead, use tools that have a minimal memory profile and that do not make unnecessary or excessive changes to the target system.
- Use tools that keep a log and compute cryptographic checksums of their output as the output is created (not after the fact).
- Fully automate the collection process, perhaps eliminating the requirement for a human to interact with the suspect computer.

Recommended Procedure

- Do your best to collect data in order of volatility.
- Treat the data you collect as evidence—be sure to follow your data preservation procedures, including the creation of an evidence tag and chain of custody. Don't forget to compute an MD5 checksum of the evidence.
- Consider files on media you connect to the suspect computer as lost to the attacker. For example, do not keep indicators, documents, reports, notes, or anything else on the media from which the live response will be run.
- Consider any credentials you use as compromised. It's a good idea to use an account other than your primary account, and change the password frequently or use a two-factor or one-time password solution.

Recommended Procedure

- Do not take actions that will cause unnecessary modifications to the suspect computer unless there is no other option, such as copying the live response kit to the system or storing the output there. Doing so may destroy valuable evidence. Use removable media, a network share, or other remote media options.
- Do not use the suspect computer to perform analysis. This causes unnecessary changes to the system, potentially destroying evidence and making it harder to discern attacker activity from responder activity. You also do not know what state the system is in—it could be providing incorrect results.

Horror Stories: IR Procedures



- Copy live response toolkit to affected systems, save collected data back to that same system including full RAM dump, several gigabytes in size
- Remotely log in with domain administrator account, run netstat & Task Manager
- Pull out the plug, image the hard drive

Good Methods of Live Response

- Network share on a dedicated file server
 - Not part of any domain
 - Used throwaway credentials not used for any other purpose
- Two folders
 - Read-only containing the live response toolkit
 - Writeable for output from live response toolkit

Live Response Process

- 1. Browse to the share (or map a drive letter) from the suspect system.
- 2. Run the live response program directly from the share and specify the output folder on the share as the location to write data. Ideally, a subfolder would be created using a unique identifier such as an evidence tag number.
- 3. Once the collection is complete, the responder returns to their desk and moves the live response data from the temporary share location to a separate server that permanently houses live response data and has appropriate access controls.

Live Response Tips

- Air-gap for evidence server
- Logging and auditing access to evidence server
- Automate process for consistency
- Live Response software must run as Local Administrator/root

Media

- Some computers cannot connect external media
 - Hardware failure, configuration, etc.
- Common options for running toolkit
 - CD-ROM, DVD, network share
 - Encrypted network streaming tool like cryptcat or stunnel to send output to another system

Unexpected OS

- Cannot run your normal live response toolkit
- If you can't update or modify your toolkit to run
- Perform manual live response
Unexpected OS

- Create a checklist of the automated steps in the toolkit for a similar OS
- Research command-line options
- Test them on a known clean system, if possible
- Manually perform steps to collect evidence

Automation

- Decreases human error
- Makes processes more consistent and faster
- Helps to prevent bad guys from gathering intelligence about how you respond to incidents
- Anything you do on the evidence system may be sent to the bad guys

Live Data Collection on Microsoft Windows Systems

Three Main Options

- Use a prebuilt kit (Mandiant Redline)
- Create your own
- Use a hybrid of the two

Mandiant Redline

- Install the Redline MSI package on a trusted workstation
- Create the Redline collector on the trusted system
- The only step you take on a suspect system is to run the stand-alone Redline collector batch script
- Automatically saves data to the same location you ran the script from

Do It Yourself

- Make your own live response toolkit
- Decide what OS to support
 - Windows has many versions, and big differences between 32-bit and 64-bit
- Find tools that collect the information you want

Windows Built-in Tools

- Copy these files from a clean Windows system
- · Also copy cmd.exe
- "Trusted binaries"
- Don't trust files on the evidence machine

Data Collected	Command(s)
System date and time	date and time
Time zone	systeminfo
Installed software	
General system	
information	
OS version	
Uptime	
File system information	
User accounts	net user
Groups	net group
Network interfaces	ipconfg/all
Routing table	route print
ARP table	arp -a
DNS cache	ipconfig/displaydns
Network connections	netstat -abn

Free Tools

- Use command-line versions, not GUI versions
 - Easier to script
 - · Less impact
- Rename every tool so you can identify it as something you added to the system
- Prepend "t_"

Data Collected	Tool Name
Network connections	DiamondCS openports (<u>www.softpedia.com</u>)
List of services and tasks	Microsoft autoruns
Loaded drivers	NirSoft DriverView
Open files and handles	NirSoft OpenedFilesView
Running processes	Microsoft pslist
Registry (config data)	Microsoft logparser
Event logs (login history)	Microsoft logparser
File system listing	Microsoft logparser
LR output checksum computation	PC-Tools.net md5sums or hashutils (code.kliu.org/misc/hashutils)

Other Data Items

- Prefetch information
- System restore point information
- Browser history, and more
- Balance your needs with the impact the collection has on the system

Scripting Language

- · Choose one
 - MS-DOS Batch (lowest impact)
 - VBScript
 - · Perl
 - · Python

Scripting Tips

- Add logging and compute a checksum of collected data
- Be careful with file and directory names
 - They may be long or include spaces
- Test your script extensively
 - Built a test environment that resembles your production systems
 - Watch for errors and unexpected results

Memory Collection

- Tools for a full memory dump
 - AccessData FTK Imager Lite
 - Mandiant Memoryze
 - Monsools Windows Memory Toolkit

Mandiant Memoryze

Command-line tool: MemoryDD.bat

```
<Issue number="7022" level="Warning" summary=
"Unable to read memory page(s)Invalid address range 0x00000000bf780000 -
0x0000000fffff000" context="MapPhysicalMemory"/>
```

AccessData FTK Imager Lite

QAccessData FTK Imager 3.1.1.8					
<u>Eile View Mode H</u> elp					
🕼 🏫 🗣 🗠 🖛 🗇 🖬 🖬 🚑 🚑 =	= 📖 💽 🥄		<u>) 188 188</u> 9		
Evidence Tree	× File List				×
	Name		Size	Туре	Date Modified
	Memory Progres	e.			
	rientory Progres	,,,			
	Destination:	Cult Inneral Administ	rates Decktop Imaged		-
	Desting bonn	C: Wsers (Administ	rator pesktop (memo	ump.mem	
Custom Content Sources	Status:	Memory capture fi	nished successfully		
Evidence:File System Path File Optic		,			
			Close		
•					<u> </u>
New Edit Remove Remove All Create Image					
	·				
Properties Hex Value Inter Custom Con	tent				Y
For User Guide, press F1					

Individual Process RAM Dump

- · Tools
 - Mandiant Memoryze
 - Microsoft userdump
 - Microsoft procdump
 - Ntsecurity.nu pmdump

TeamViewer Credentials

Edit	View	Virtual N	achine	Window	Help			43°C 2165rpm	🛱 🕲 🔓 🗣 🗢	40% 🗈 🐹 Sun S	Sep 11 12:15:03 PM	M Sam Bow	ne Q ≔
•								Win2008-N	NETLAB				
Ш	E	э,	⇔ [2		()	0 4 2	4						٥
C Te	amViewer	r				👯 Administrato	r: Command	d Prompt			-	🧾 strTesm - No	otepad 💶 🗙
Co	nnection	Extras	Help			C:\Users\Ad	ninistrat	tor\Documents>proc	lump -ma 2296		r	File Edit Form	at View Help
*	✦ Re	mote (Contr	ol 😃	Mee	ProcDump v8 Copyright (Sysinternal With contri	0 - Writ > 2009-2 - www.s wutions f	tes process dump fi 2016 Mark Russinovi sysinternals.com from Andrew Richard	iles ich Is			sp& G. Microso	∃ oft Win
А	llow R	Remote	Contr	ol	Enhance further in	[12:10:42] [12:10:43] [12:10:43] [12:10:43]	Dump 1 in Dump 1 wr Dump 1 co Dump coun	nitiated: C:\Users\ riting: Estimated d omplete: 116 MB wri nt reached.	Administrator\Doc lump file size is itten in 0.6 seco	cuments\TeamViewer 116 MB. nds	exe_160911_121	6826 ⊥	۲ ۸ ۲
2	Your ID		215 0	80 38	2 🕴	C:\Users\Ad Volume in Volume Ser	vinistrat Irive C }	tor\Documents>dir has no label. r is C6F2-CFDF				File Edit Form	otepad 📃 🗆 nat View Help
	Password	d		682	6	Directory	of C:\Use	ers\Administrator\I	ocuments			ASYC a 5	
U	natter	nded A	cess			09/11/2016 09/11/2016 05/06/2016 11/16/2015	12:10 PM 12:10 PM 02:06 PM 09:22 AM	1 <dir> 1 <dir> 1 <dir> 1 <dir> 1 <dir></dir></dir></dir></dir></dir>	CrypTool 2 Proje easyftp-server-	ects 1.7.0.11-en		215 080	0 382
C	Start T	eamViewe	r with Wi	ndows		06/01/2013 03/14/2016 03/14/2016	12:10 AP 10:11 PP		d easyftp-server- msvcp120.dll	1.7.0.11-en.zip			
Ċ	Assign	device to	account			09/11/2016	12:01 P	43,390,837	notepad.exe_160	911_120138.dmp			
ċ	Grant	easy acces	s			05/29/2016 03/16/2016 09/11/2016	06:25 AM 07:49 AM		putty-public splunk-6.3.3-f4	4afce176d0-x86-re1	lease.msi		
			Free licer	nse (non-co	mmercial u	09/11/2016	12:10 P	1 118,026,619 le(s) 297,989,34	TeanViewer.exe_1 2 bytes	160911_121042.dnp			
•	Ready to	o connect (s	ecure co	nnection)		C:\Users\Ad	inistrat	tor\Documents>strin	ngs TeamViewer.ex	e_160911_121042.dn	np > strIesm		
				Folders		C:\Users\Ad	ninistrat	tor\Documents>note;	oad strIesn				
🎝 Sta	urt 🚠 🛚	= 🏉 📀 ,	< 🚥 🄰	an Admi	nistrator: C	om 🔲 Untitled	- Notepad	📄 strTesm - Notepad	strTesm - Notepad	🍌 Administrator	C TeamViewer	« 🛃 💀	🖹 🛃 🏠 12:15 PM

Live Data Collection on Unix-Based Systems



- From Network Intelligence India
- Written for RedHat 3 and 4, not updated since 2006
- Useful mainly as an example to guide you in making a custom tool

Do It Yourself

- Really the only option
- Make some scripts
- Mandiant uses Bourne shell scripts that make scripts for various Unix/Linux versions
- Requires constant maintenance

Language Choices

- · Perl
- · Python
- Bourne shell
- BASH (Mandiant uses this)
- others

Apple Systems

system_profiler

• Very long list of software, hardware, logs, etc.

Applications: Microsoft Word: Version: 15.20 Obtained from: Identified Developer Last Modified: 3/19/16, 9:38 AM Kind: Intel 64-Bit (Intel): No Signed by: Developer ID Application: Microsoft Corporation (UBF8T34669), Developer ID Certification Authorit\$ Location: /Applications/Microsoft Word.app Get Info String: 15.20 (160315), © 2016 Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved.

system_profiler

Media Player - Windows XP Professional:

Version: VMware Fusion 8.0.2 Obtained from: Identified Developer Last Modified: 3/22/16, 7:55 PM Kind: Intel 64-Bit (Intel): Yes Signed by: Developer ID Application: VMware, Inc. (Fusion) (8J7TAMPT4P), Developer ID Certification Authorit\$ Location: /Users/sambowne/Downloads/CCTF/Win2/XP_Machine/Applications/Media Player - Windows XP Professional\$ Get Info String: Windows XP Professional c:/windows/system32/mplay32.exe

Camera:

FaceTime HD Camera:

Model ID: Apple Camera VendorID_0x106B ProductID_0x1570 Unique ID: DJH54345LFCG1HPBA

system_profiler

system.log:

Description: System Log Date Modified: 9/14/16, 11:45 AM Size: 579 KB Contents: ...

Sep 14 11:12:55 Sams-MacBook-Pro-3 sharingd[278]: 11:12:55.688 : Purged contact hashes
Sep 14 11:12:55 Sams-MacBook-Pro-3 sharingd[278]: 11:12:55.689 : Discoverable mode changed to Off
Sep 14 11:12:55 Sams-MacBook-Pro-3 sharingd[278]: 11:12:55.689 : BTLE scanning stopped
Sep 14 11:12:55 Sams-MacBook-Pro-3 vmnet-bridge[79185]: Dynamic store changed

Built-in Unix Tools

Data Collected	Tool Name	License Part of operating system	
System date and time	The date command		
Installed software	Debian-based: The dpkgget- selections command RPM-based: The rpm -qa command BSD-based: The pkg_info command OS X: Copy the file /Library/Receipts/ InstallHistory.plist		
File system information	The mount, df, and fdisk –I commands	Part of operating system	
OS version	The cat /etc/issue command (Varies with operating system)	Part of operating system	
Kernel version	The uname -a command	Part of operating system	
Uptime The w command		Part of operating system	
Cron	Create a tar of cron files, normally kept in /var/spool/cron	Part of operating system	

Built-in Unix Tools

Data Collected	Tool Name	License
Services	Varies by init system	Part of operating system
User accounts	The cat /etc/password and cat /etc/ shadow commands	Part of operating system
Groups	The cat /etc/group command	Part of operating system
Network interfaces	The ifconfig -a command	Part of operating system
Routing table	The netstat -rn command	Part of operating system
ARP table	The arp -a command	Part of operating system
Network connections	The netstat -anp command	Part of operating system
Loaded drivers	Linux: The lsmod command BSD: The kldstat command OS X: The kextstat command (Varies for other operating systems)	Part of operating system

Built-in Unix Tools

Open files and handles	The lsof command	Free, commonly installed
Running processes and threads	Linux: The ps auxwwwem command (Varies for other operating systems)	Part of operating system
Configuration data (copy of files)	Create a tar of /etc (for example, tar cvfz /path/to/media/host-etc.tar.gz /etc/)	Part of operating system
System logs (copy of files)	Normally in /var/log or /var/adm or /Private/var/log (OS X), but varies between operating systems	Part of operating system
User shell history (copy of files)	BASH: .bash_history SH: .history (Varies with shell)	Part of operating system
File system listing	The find / -xdev -printf "%m;%Ax; %AT;%Tx;%TT;%Cx;%CT;%U;%G;% s;%p\n" command, or if find is not installed: The ls -alRu / command (atime) The ls -alRc / command (ctime) The ls -alR / command (mtime)	Part of operating system
LR output checksum computation	The md5 or md5sum command	Normally part of operating system

Memory Collection

- The memory device is handled differently in every version of Unix, BSD, and Linux
- In earlier versions, you could just use dd to collect RAM through the /dev/mem device
- Direct access to memory os now blocked for security reasons
- Use LiME Linux Memory Extractor (link Ch 7c)

Loadable Kernel Modules

- LIME is an LKM
- Must be compiled for the exact kernel version running on the target system
- No ability to include checksums of the output
 - You must do that yourself

Collection from BSD-Based Kernels

- Use dc3dd or dcfldd to capture contents of /dev/mem
- They are like dd but also include checksums
- In recent versions, there's no End Of File mark in /dev/mem, so you must manually specify how many bytes to capture

Collection from Apple OS X

- Memoryze for Mac (link Ch 7d)
 - Mac Memory Reader seems to be gone

```
system1:memoryze4mac root# ./macmemoryze dump -f system1_memory.dd
INFO: loading driver...
INFO: opening /dev/mem...
INFO: dumping memory to [system1_memory.dd]
INFO: dumping 5637144576-bytes [5376-MB]
INFO: dumping [5637144576-bytes:5376-MB 100%
INFO: dumping complete
INFO: unloading driver...
system1:memoryze4mac root#
```

Individual Process Dump

• "gcore" (part of gdb, the GNU debugger)

gcore -o /mnt/usb/case12-tag001-pid4327-sysauthd.img 4327

Live Data Collection on Microsoft Windows Systems