

10 Untrusted Input

Updated 4-20-24

Topics

- Input Validation
 - Determining Validity
 - Validation Criteria
 - Rejecting Invalid Input
 - Correcting Invalid Input
- Character String Vulnerabilities
 - Length Issues
 - Unicode Issues

Topics

- Injection Vulnerabilities
 - SQL Injection
 - Path Traversal
 - Regular Expressions
 - Dangers of XML
- Mitigating Injection Attacks

Input Validation

Input Validation Examples

- When logging in
 - Ensure that username contains only 8-40 valid characters
- Accepting a number of hours for a week's pay
 - Limit it to 100 max
- Attack Surface
 - Obviously inputs from the Internet are untrusted
 - Or from users
 - But inputs from other modules of code may be harmful too
 - Because of changes as code is updated

Determining Validity

- Must anticipate all future valid input values
 - And disallow the rest
- Allow some headroom
 - Allocate a 4096-byte buffer
 - Limit inputs to 4000 bytes

Validation Criteria

- Input must
 - Not exceed maximum size
 - Be in proper format
 - Be within a range of acceptable values
- Size limit prevents DoS attacks caused by inputting large amounts of data
- Formats include digits, strings with certain allowed characters.
 XML, JSON
- Do the three tests in the order shown above

Understandable Limits

- Make your limits understandable to non-programmers
- 100 characters, not 100 bytes
- 1,000,000 products, not $2^{32} 1 = 4,292,967,295$

Rejecting Invalid Input

- Safest approach
- If input comes from a user
- It's kind to provide an informative error message
 - To help the user provide valid input

Best Practices

- Explain what constitutes a valid entry as part of the user interface, saving at least those who read it from having to guess and retry. (How am I supposed to know that area codes should be hyphenated rather than parenthesized?)
- Flag multiple errors at once, so they can be corrected and resubmitted in one step.
- When people are directly providing the input, keep the rules simple and clear.
- Break up complicated forms into parts, with a separate form for each part, so people can see that they're making progress.

Rejecting Inputs from Other Computers

- Write documentation precisely describing the constraints
- Fully rejecting input is safer than trying to clean it and use it
 - The error indicates that something is wrong, so it can be fixed

Correcting Invalid Input

- You may not want to stop the process for a minor error
 - Lost sales, frustrated customers...
- Attempt to correct invalid input
 - Truncate long strings
 - Remove leading or trailing spaces
- Correcting addresses is complicated
- May change input in an unintended fashion
 - Such as stripping country codes off long phone numbers

Character String Vulnerabilities

Length Issues

- Long strings may cause buffer overflows
 - Or performance problems if they are very long
- So limit maximum number of characters

Unicode Issues

- UTF-8 is most common encoding
- One character can be 1-4 bytes long

First code point	Last code point	Byte 1	Byte 2	Byte 3	Byte 4
U+00 <mark>0</mark> 0	U+00 7 F	0xxxxxxx			
U+00 <mark>8</mark> 0	U+07 <mark>F</mark> F	110xxx <mark>xx</mark>	10xxxxxx		
U+ <mark>080</mark> 0	U+FFFF	1110xxxx	10xxxxxx	10xxxxxx	
U+ <mark>01000</mark> 0	^[b] U+10FFFF	11110 <mark>xxx</mark>	10xxxxxx	10xxxxxx	10xxxxxx

Code point ↔ UTF-8 conversion

• There are also UTF=7, UTF-16, and UTF-32 encodings

Encodings and Glyphs

- Glyphs are the rendered visual forms of characters
- These two characters are different but have the same glyphs



Homomorphs

- Different characters with identical glyphs
- Often used by attackers to fool users
- Spelling Paypal with a Cyrillic character U+0420 instead of P
- The Latin letter Ç (U+00C7) also has a two-character representation, consisting of a capital C (U+0043) followed by the "Combining Cedilla" character (U+0327).

Canonicalization

- A common coding strategy
- Normalizing input strings to a standard form
- Not simple for Unicode

Case Change

- Converting all characters to lowercase or UPPERCASE
 - Simplifies later processing
- But some characters have surprising properties
- 'This is a test.' and 'This is a test.'
- Converted to uppercase, they both turn into 'THIS IS A TEST.'
- Lowercase dotless (U+0131) and
 - The familiar lowercase i (U+0069)
- Both become uppercase I (U+0049).

Blocking <script>

- Filtering algorithm:
 - Convert input to lowercase
 - Scan for <script>
 - Convert to uppercase for output
- <script> will pass this test

Injection Vulnerabilities

Forms of Injection

• Data from the user is interpreted as commands at the server

- SQL statements
- Filepath names
- Regular expressions (as a DoS threat)
- XML data (specifically, XXE declarations)
- Shell commands
- Interpreting strings as code (for example, JavaScript's eval function)
- HTML and HTTP headers (covered in Chapter 11)

SQL Injection



• Exploits of a Mom

How it Works

• Normal student name: Robert

```
INSERT INTO Students (name) VALUES ('Robert');
```

• Malicious student name

INSERT INTO Students (name) VALUES ('Robert'); DROP TABLE Students; -- ');

• What the server sees

INSERT INTO Students (name) VALUES ('Robert');
DROP TABLE Students; --');

Vulnerable Code

sql_stmt = "INSERT INTO Students (name) VALUES ('" + student_name +
"');";

- Includes input without validating it first
- Simple defense: block apostrophes in names
- BUT some names contain apostrophes

Least Privilege

- Software registering students should not have administrative privileges
 - Ability to delete tables

Vulnerable Code

```
import sqlite3
con = sqlite3.connect('school.db')
student_name = "Robert'); DROP TABLE Students;--"
# The WRONG way to query the database follows:
sql_stmt = "INSERT INTO Students (name) VALUES ('" + student_name +
"');"
con.executescript(sql_stmt)
```

Fixed Code

```
import sqlite3
con = sqlite3.connect('school.db')
student_name = "Robert'); DROP TABLE Students;--"
# The RIGHT way to query the database follows:
con.execute("INSERT INTO Students (name) VALUES (?)", (student_name,))
```

- (?) place holder is filled in from the student_name value
- No apostrophes used
- No chance of misinterpreting the name as executable code

Changing a Grade

- This attack doesn't require a second SQL statement
- Student name: Robert', 'A+'); --
- When submitting grades:

INSERT INTO Grades (name, grade) VALUES ('Robert', 'F');

But with the name Robert', 'A+');-- that command becomes:

INSERT INTO Grades (name, grade) VALUES ('Robert', 'A+');--', 'F');

Path Traversal

- Input is a filename **x**
- Used to fetch an image from /server/data/image_store/x
- Attack: set **x** to ../../secret/key
- These are equivalent path names:
 - /server/data/image_store/../../secret/key
 - /server/data/../secret/key
 - /server/secret/key

Defense

- Ensure that input contains only alphanumeric characters
- Or filter out troublesome characters like .. and /
- BUT Windows uses \

Vulnerable Algorithm

- If path begins with .../, reject it
- BUT an attacker who knows the name of a subfolder can use
 - subfolder/../../secret/key

Fixed Code

```
• • •
                        SC — nano safe_path_demo.py — 73×23
 UW PICO 5.09
                                 File: safe_path_demo.py
import os
def safe_path(path):
   """Checks that argument path is a safe file path.
   If not, returns None. If safe, returns the
   normalized absolute file path. """
   base_dir = os.path.dirname(os.path.abspath(__file__))
              # __file__ is the path to this running script
   filepath = os.path.normpath(os.path.join(base_dir, path))
   if base_dir != os.path.commonpath([base_dir, filepath]):
     return None
   return filepath
path = input("Path:")
print( safe_path(path) )
^G Get Help ^O WriteOut ^R Read File^Y Prev Pg ^K Cut Text ^C Cur Pos
            ^J Justify <u>^W</u> Where is <u>^V</u> Next Pg <u>^U</u> UnCut Tex<mark>^T</mark> To Spell
^X Exit
```

Demo



Regular Expressions

• Some expressions require backtracking and take a long time

```
SC — nano regex_demo.py — 68×17
 •
  UW PICO 5.09
                                                                       Modified
                                File: regex_demo.py
import re, time
str = input("String (like DDDD!): ")
t0 = time.time()
print(re.match(r'(DD+)+$', str))
t = time.time() - t0
print( "Time elapsed: ", round(t,3), "seconds" )
^G Get Help^0 WriteOut^R Read Fil^Y Prev Pg ^K Cut Text^C Cur Pos
^X Exit ^J Justify ^W Where is^V Next Pg ^U UnCut Te^T To Spell
```

Time Required



Mitigation

- Avoid letting untrusted inputs influence computations that have the potential to blow up
- Don't let untrusted inputs define the regex
- Limit the length of the string the regex matches
- Test the worst-case to ensure it's not too slow

Dangers of XML

- XML entity declarations
- This code generates 8 megabytes of XML

<!DOCTYPE dtd[<!ENTITY big1 "big!"> <!ENTITY big2
"&big1;&big1;&big1;&big1;&big1;&big1;&big1;"> <!ENTITY big3
"&big2;&big2;&big2;&big2;&big2;&big2;&big2;&big2;"> <!ENTITY big4
"&big3;&big3;&big3;&big3;&big3;&big3;&big3;&big3;&big3;"> <!ENTITY big4
"&big3;&big3;&big3;&big3;&big3;&big3;&big3;&big3;*> <!ENTITY big5
"&big4;&big4;&big4;&big4;&big4;&big4;&big4;*> <!ENTITY big6
"&big5;&big5;&big5;&big5;&big5;&big5;&big5;*> <!ENTITY big7
"&big6;&big6;&big6;&big6;&big6;&big6;&big6;*>

]>

<mega>&big7;&big7;&big7;&big7;&big7;&big7;&big7;&big7;&big7;</mega>

Reading a File

• This code puts the contents of the passwd file into &snoop;

<!ENTITY snoop SYSTEM "file:///etc/passwd>" >

- Defense
 - Keep untrusted inputs out of any XML your code uses

Mitigating Injection Attacks

- Input validation is the first line of defense
 - But may not be enough
- Avoid inserting untrusted data into constructed strings for execution
- Use trusted libraries with safe ways to use data in SQL
- Use direct system call to readdir(3) instead of constructing a command starting with Is
 - Cannot execute any other command
- Avoid storing data in the filesystem directly
 - Anticipating and blocking all possible attacks is tricky

Source Code Scanners

• Easily find insecure SQL, exec, eval, etc.



Ch 10